

Applications of hidden Markov models to sequence analysis

Lior Pachter

Outline

- Why do we analyze sequences? What are we looking for?
- Annotation of DNA sequences I (and HMMs)
- Alignment
- Annotation of DNA sequences II
- Protein sequences

The Human genome





From the introduction to the Nature human genome paper:

11

- The genomic landscape shows marked variation in the distribution of a number of features...for example, the developmentally important HOX gene clusters are the most repeat-poor regions of the human genome.
- There appear to be about 30,000-40,000 genes in the human genome- only about twice as many as in the worm or fly
- The full set of proteins encoded in the human is more complex than those of invertebrates....due in part to vertebrate specific protein domains and motifs.
- The pericentromeric and subtelomeric regions of the chromosomes are filled with large recent segmental duiplications of sequence....much more frequent than in yeast, fly or worm.
- More than 1.4 million single nucleotide polymorphisms have been identified.



Gene Structure II



Gene Structure III



Finding genes



Splice site detection



How Difficult is the Problem?



- n = number of acceptor splice sites
- m = number of donor splice sites

Number of parses = F_{n+m+1} (Fibonacci)

A simple HMM



Initial distribution:

$$\pi = (\pi_A, \pi_B)$$

A lattice view

Observed sequence:





Hidden sequence:





Observed: 1,4,3,6,6,4...

Questions:

- 1. What is the most likely die sequence?
- 2. What is the probability of the observed sequence?
- 3. What is the probability that the 3rd state is B, given the observed sequence?

The HMM algorithms

Forward:

 $\alpha_t(i) = P(observed sequence, ending in state i at base t)$

Backward:

 $\beta_t(i) = P(obs. after t | ending in state i at base t)$

Viterbi:

 $\delta_t(i) = \max P(obs., ending in state i at base t)$

Questions:

- 1. What is the most likely die sequence? Viterbi
- 2. What is the probability of the observed sequence? Forward
- 3. What is the probability that the 3rd state is B, given the observed sequence? Backward

A lattice view

Observed sequence:





Hidden sequence:



Hidden Markov Models (HMMs)

 Underlying generates a sequence of states.
Markov chain = distribution of next state depends only on present
Hidden = the state sequence



Observed = outputs from the states

GTCAGAGTAGCAAAGTAGACACTCCAGTAACGC

Approaches to Gene recognition

- Homology
 - BLAST, Procrustes
- De Novo
 - GRAIL, FGENEH, GENSCAN, Genie, Glimmer
- Hybrids
 - GenomeScan, Genie
- Comparative
 - Rosetta, Twinscan

Ab-initio gene finding: Generalized HMMs

Example: Glimmer Gene Finding in Microbial DNA

- No introns
- 90% coding
- Shorter genomes (less than 10 million bp)
- Lots of data

Gene Structure in Prokaryotes



Bacteriomaker (Walmart \$3.95)



HMM state duration times

- Pr(leaving state) = p
- Pr(staying in state) = 1 p
- Pr(output of exactly r in state) = (1-p)^rp

Geometric distribution



р

Observed duration times



The Gene Finding Problem



TAAT ATGTCCACGG GTATTGAG CATTGTACACGGG GTATTGAG CATGTAA TGAA



Using GHMMs for ab-initio gene finding

In practice, have observed sequence

TAATATGTCCACGGGTATTGAGCATTGTACACGGGGTATTGAGCATGTAATGAA

Predict genes by estimating hidden state sequence

Usual solution: single most likely sequence of hidden states (Viterbi).

The Genscan HMM



Lattice view

	С	Т	G	С	С	С	Т	A	т	G	С	Т	С	G	G	т	G	С	G	А	Т	Т	А	С	A	G	С	Т	С	Т	А	т	A	A	С
E_2	T •	•	•				-	•	•			•	-	•	•			-	-	-		•			•	-	•	•	-	•		•	•		•
E_1	T.	•					-	-				•	-		-			-	-	-	-					-	•						÷		•
E_0	T •							•	•																•		Ţ		-						
E_{2}	1.2 *																										Ş.						4		
E_{i}	2.1 •																										ġ.								
E_{i}	2,0 +		•	•		+					•	•				•		•							•		į.						•		
E_1	.2 •																	•								÷	ŀ								
E_1		•	•				-	-	-		•	•					•	•				•			•		ŀ	-	-		•		•		•
E_1	,0 ·	•	•	•				•	•		•						•	•				•			•			•					- Ì		•
E_0),2 •						-				•							•							•	•	į.						- }	¦ .	
E_0	0,1 *	•																							•	÷	•		-				• }		
E_0								•																		- 1	•		-				-	۱.	
E_i	.2 •	•																								Ч			-						
E_{i}	.1 •						-	*						-											•	-			-					•	•
E_{i}	,o•							Ţ.						-												÷			-					į.	
E	8 *	•	•	•		+	•	ir.																									÷₹	i.	
1	2 -						-	ij.				-			ŀ.				-	••	-	**		-	••	4		-			-		-	÷	•
1							•	<u> </u>							j.						U			2E		ý				.		70	7 , - 1	÷	*
I	•	-		**	**		÷	'. .	**	-	-	**	**	-	**	**	**	-	**	**	**	-	-		**	-	-		**		*			ų.	*
I	G 🐽	•••					*						-	-	-				-	**							-		**		-			\$	*

Life is complicated



• Pseudo genes

DNA

Alignment

Chromosome Comparison

Human







Total mapped in both species: 3313 mouse, laboratory 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 X Y XY UN MT 101 2 з з 68 1 33 3 з з h u 13 3 u m а n 15 15 n 200 2 з з з х х Y Y XY XY UN. з UN. ΜT MT 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 X Y XY UN MT

Total Homologies: 4776

mouse, laboratory

h

m

а

MEF2C





Pair HMMs

50	
247	GGTGAGGTCGAGGACCCTGCA CGGAGCTGTATGGAGGGCA AGAGC
	: : : ::
368	GAGTCGGGGGGGGGGGGGCTGCTGTTGGCTCTGGACAGCTTGCATTGAGAGG
100	
292	TTC CTACAGAAAAGTCCCAGCAAGGAGCCACACTTCACTG
418	TTCTGGCTACGCTCTCCCTTAGGGACTGAGCAGAGGGCT CAGGTCGCGG
150	
332	ATGTCGAGGGGAAGACATCATTCGGGATGTCAGTG
	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
467	TGGGAGATGAGGCCAATGTCGAGGGGAAGACATCATTTGGGATGTCAGTG
200	
367	TTCAACCTCAGCAATGCCATCATGGGCAGCGGCATCCTGGGACTCGCCTA
517	TTCAATCTCAGCAACGCCATCATGGGCAGTGGAATTCTGGGGGCTCGCCTA

Alignment Formalization

- "...consider a pair of strings on a finite alphabet...
- "...an alignment is a string of match/mismatch/indel symbols..."
- "...we show how to find the optimal alignment where the scoring function is given by..."
Want to take into account that the sequences are genome sequences:

Example: a pair of syntenic genomic regions





Question: How do we align sequences so that the alignments are biologically meaningful?



The Gene Finding Problem



Example: a human/mouse ortholog

Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)

Human Locus



Suggestion: In order to find genes in two syntenic regions, first align them and then use the alignment to assist in the gene finding.



UTR

CNS

Comparison of 1196 orthologous genes (Makalowski et al., 1996)

- Sequence identity:
 - exons: 84.6%
 - protein: 85.4%
 - introns: 35%
 - 5' UTRs: 67%
 - 3' UTRs: 69%
- 27 proteins were 100% identical.

Observation:

Finding the genes will help to find biologically meaningful alignments.
Finding a good alignment will help in finding the genes.

Which came first, the chicken or the egg?

They were both generated by a generalized pair hidden Markov model

Hidden Markov models

- Sequence alignment with Pair HMMs
- Gene Prediction with Generalized HMMs
- Both simultaneously with GPHMMs

HMMs for sequence alignment: Pair HMMs

Pair HMMs

Simple sequence-alignment PHMM



- M = (mis)match
- X = insert seq1
- Y = insert seq2

Pair HMMs

Hidden sequence:



Hidden alignment: ATCG--G AC-GTCA Observed sequence:

ATCGG ACGTCA

Using the Pair HMM

In practice, we have observed sequence



for which we wish to infer the underlying hidden states $\frac{MM \times MYYM}{ATCG - -G}$ AC - GTCA

One solution: among all possible sequences of hidden states, determine the most likely (Viterbi algorithm).

Viterbi in PHMM = Needleman Wunsch



The Gene Finding Problem



Using GHMMs for ab-initio gene finding

In practice, have observed sequence

TAATATGTCCACGGGTATTGAGCATTGTACACGGGGTATTGAGCATGTAATGAA

Predict genes by estimating hidden state sequence

Usual solution: single most likely sequence of hidden states (Viterbi).

TAAT ATGTCCACGG GTATTGAG CATTGTACACGGG GTATTGAG CATGTAA TGAA



HMMs for simultaneous alignment and gene finding: Generalized Pair HMMs





Using GPHMMs for cross-species gene finding

given a pair of syntenic sequences

TAATATGTCCACGGGTATTGAGCATTGTACACGGGGTATTGAGCCATGTAATGAA CTGATGTACACTGGTTGGTCCTCAGCTTTGACGGGGTGCCATGTAATGTC



Predict exon-pairs using single most likely sequence of hidden states (Viterbi).

Computational Complexity

N = # HMM states T =length seq1 D =max duration U =length seq2

Model	Time	Space
HMM	N^2T	NT
PHMM	$N^2 T U$	NTU
GHMM	D^2N^2T	NT
GPHMM	D^4N^2TU	NTU





Introns Exons

Approximate alignment



A GPHMM implementation SLAM

- SLAM components
 - Splice sites (Variable length Markov models).
 - Introns and Intergenic regions (2nd order Markov models, independent geometric lengths, CNS states).
 - Coding sequences (3-periodic Markov models, generalized length distributions, protein-based pairHMM.)
- Input
 - Pair of syntenic genomic sequences.
 - Approximate alignment.
- Output
 - CDS predictions in *both* sequences.



Approximate alignment





Number of Genes



GPHMM applications

- Ideally suited for alignment/feature finding problems
 - DNA/DNA
 - DNA/cDNA
 - DNA/protein
- Extension to more than 2 sequences computationally challenging.

"Its difficult to predict, in particular the future"- GB Shaw

- SLAM improvements
 - modeling more features in pairs
 - states for untranslated regions
 - frameshifts
- Limitations
 - genomic rearrangements
 - overlapping genes

Allowing for inserted exons



Analysis of Protein Sequences

env Surface Glycoprotein SU gp120

> env Transmembrane Glycoprotein TM gp41

gag Membrane Associated (Matrix) Protein MA p17

> gag Capsid CA (Core Shell) p24

RNA (2 molecules)

pol Protease PR p9 Polymersase RT & RNAse H RNH p66 Integrase IN p32

Examples of Super-secondary Structure





Geometry of Coiled Coil

7 repeating positions (a - -g) in a coiled coil:



Beta Helices



Thanks

- Marina Alexandersson
- Simon Cawley
- CCSF HIV page
- Inna Dubchak
- Eddy Rubin
- Bonnie Berger
- Ethan Wolf
- Serafim Batzoglou
- Robert Gentleman
- Sandrine Dutoit